

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/010,961		12/06/2001	Chien-Min Sung	20236 5672 EXAMINER	
20551	7590	07/13/2005			
		WESTERN, LL	ROSE, ROBERT A		
8180 SOUTH 700 EAST, SUITE 200 P.O. BOX 1219				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SANDY, UT			•	3723	

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\mathcal{P}	2
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/010,961	SUNG, CHIEN-MIN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert Rose	3723	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet v	ith the correspondence address	
Period for Reply	LVIO OET TO EVOIDE A	AONTHO FROM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of the dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25.	April 2005.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal ma	iters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-53 is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 27-53 is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•	=, , , ,	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Ints have been received in a corrective documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Amashman4(a)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	8) 5)	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/010,961 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 27-53 remain withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 6.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 5-7, and 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Billett. Billett discloses a dressing tool comprising all of the subject matter set forth in applicant's claims above. Note stainless steel or carbide substrate coated with a polycrystalline diamond layer having an array of projections. The dressing tool of Billett appears fully capable of conditioning a fixed abrasive pad. Note at column 4, lines 45-56 that the diamond layer in Billett may be "about 20 microns" in at least one embodiment, which meets the limitation of the projections having a height "equal to or less than about 30 micrometers".
- 4. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Myoung et al(US 6439986). Myoung et al discloses a dressing tool comprising all of the subject matter of applicant's claims above. Note various embodiments of dressing tool comprising a substrate of ceramic or metallic material with a pattern of projections coated with a carbonaceous layer of

Application/Control Number: 10/010,961 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

diamond. With regard to claim 2 the height of the poles on an intended workpiece is not limiting on the apparatus. The dressing tool of Myoung et al appears fully capable of conditioning a fixed abrasive pad. Note at column 11, lines 36-46 that the smaller rectangular protrusions(40') are of a height dimension of about 30 micrometers which meets the limitation of the projections having a height "equal to or less than about 30 micrometers".

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed April 25, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With regard to Applicant's additional limitation in claims 1, 19, and 23 the references to Billett and Myoung et al are deemed to disclose the limitation with respect to protrusion height, as broadly stated. Note the passages specifically mentioned in the above rejections. Applicant's arguments that Billett and Myoung are not from the same field of endeavor, center around the point that the conditioning tools of both Billett and Myoung et al are intended for conditioning non-fixed abrasive polishing pads, which are of a different material than the fixed abrasive pads. However, the conditioning tools of Billett and Myoung et al are not limited in their use. In view of the fact that these references are applied against apparatus claims, they are still deemed to structurally meet the limitations as broadly set forth. With regard to the new limitation in claims 1, 19, and 23 of "the small projections having a size that is sufficient to condition the fixed abrasive pad ...", such language is a statement of intended use, which is not structurally limiting on the conditioning tool, per se. Further, it appears that the tools of both Billett and Myoung et al are fully capable of being used for the purpose of conditioning fixed abrasive pads, since there is no structure therein which would preclude such use.
- 6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3723

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert Rose at telephone number (571) 272-4494.

rr

July 8, 2005.

ROBERT A. ROSE
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 323

ililia...